		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	arv	10/721,253	SAMSOONDAR, JAMES
Zammer madea merview cammary		Examiner	Art Unit
		Maureen M. Wallenhorst	1743
All Participants:		Status of Application:	
(1) Maureen M. Wallenhorst.		(3)	
(2) <u>Dawn Russell (Appl. Rep.)</u> .		(4)	
Date of Interview: 19 May 2006		Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:		nt's representative)	
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed: 4, 12, 13, 19, 20, 33, 43, 44			
Prior art documents discussed: none			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TH See Continuation Sheet	E GENER	AL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a significant to provide a significant provide and directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a significant provide a significant provide and the provide and t	ation. The separate re	examiner will provide a writte cord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
		,	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (/	Applicant/A	Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/721,253

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Various changes in the claims were agreed upon in order to further clarify the claims and place them in condition for allowance. See Examiner's Amendment. In addition, Applicant's Representative confirmed that her name was legally changed from Dawn Hayes to Dawn Russell during the prosecution to this application. In addition, the registration numbers for Dawn Hayes and Dawn Russell are the same, indicating that the same attorney is of record in this instant application.